arXiv:1405.4167v2 [math.GR] 14 Nov 2014

Proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions on homogeneous spaces

Maciej Bocheński, Piotr Jastrzębski and Aleksy Tralle

November 17, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we give sufficient conditions for homogeneous spaces G/H of simple real Lie groups G to admit proper actions of $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset G$. We single out wide classes of spaces satisfying this conditions. We classify all homogeneous spaces G/H with $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(H) = 1$ that admit proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-action.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Construction of a semisimple part of a parabolic subgroup **5**

3 The a-hyperbolic rank **8**
3.1 The definition of the a-hyperbolic rank 8
3.2 Antipodal hyperbolic orbits and the a-hyperbolic rank 10
3.3 Calculation of the a-hyperbolic rank 11

4 $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-triples and proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions **12**
4.1 $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-triples 12
4.2 $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-triples and Kobayashi's criterion for proper actions 12

5 Nilpotent orbits and their classification **13**
5.1 Partition type classification of nilpotent orbits in classical algebras . . 14
5.2 Weighted Dynkin diagrams of nilpotent orbits 15
5.3 Basic Lemma 18

6 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 **22**
6.1 Proof of Theorem 1 22
6.2 Proof of Theorem 2 23

7 Examples **24**
7.1 Examples from Theorem 2, the split case 24
7.2 Examples from Theorem 3 25

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple and non-compact Lie group and $H \subset G$ a closed Lie subgroup. This article is devoted to the problem of description of homogeneous spaces G/H admitting proper actions of $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset G$. The main results in this direction are obtained in [1],[2], [7],[10],[9],[8],[15],[6],[5]. The present paper yields an essential qualitative change in understanding of the problem, because our methods allow us to single out very large classes of homogeneous spaces which admit such actions. We get the following results.

1. We find sufficient and easy-to-check conditions for a homogeneous space G/H to admit proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions.
2. We classify all homogeneous spaces G/H with $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} H = 1$ admitting such actions.
3. We single out wide classes of homogeneous spaces G/H admitting proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions. In particular, in the case of G/H determined by semisimple subgroups of parabolic type we find a sufficient condition on G/H to admit proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions expressed in terms of the Satake diagrams of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$. In fact, using classification results of Oshima [18] on subsystems of root systems, we can always check this condition, and prove that many homogeneous spaces do admit $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions. For example, rather complete results may be obtained for G/H with split Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$.

Let us recall the definition of a proper action. Let L be a locally compact topological group acting continuously on a locally Hausdorff space X. This action is *proper* if for every compact subset $S \subset X$

$$L_S := \{g \in L | g \cdot S \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$$

is compact. The action is called properly discontinuous if it is proper and L is discrete.

Notice that if $\Omega \subset L$ is a discrete subgroup and the action of L on X is proper then the induced action of Ω on X is automatically properly discontinuous.

Assume that $\Gamma \subset G$ is a discrete subgroup acting properly discontinuously and freely on a homogeneous space G/H. Then $\Gamma\backslash G/H$ is a smooth manifold. Thus any invariant geometric structure (as, for instance, a symplectic form) on G/H descends to $\Gamma\backslash G/H$. In this setting one of the main concerns is the properness of the action of Γ.

The problem of finding an appropriate discrete subgroup is straightforward when H is compact. In such case any torsion-free discrete subgroup of G acts properly discontinuously. So the interesting case is when H is non-compact. For instance, if $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} H$ then only finite groups can act properly discontinuously on G/H - it is the Calabi-Markus phenomenon ([7]). Moreover there are spaces which only admit a properly discontinuous action of virtually abelian groups (see [1]), for instance $SL(2n+1,\mathbb{R})/SL(2n,\mathbb{R})$. Recall that a group is called virtually abelian if it contains an abelian subgroup of finite index. Therefore the main concern is to find *large* discrete subgroups that can act properly discontinuously on the homogeneous space G/H with H non-compact. For example, Benoist [1] has found a criterion of the existence of the proper action of a non-virtually abelian subgroup of G expressed in terms of the Lie algebra data. This criterion yielded explicit examples of G/H which admit and which do not admit such actions. In [15] Okuda classified symmetric spaces admitting proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions. Continuing this line of research the first and the third author found new explicit sufficient conditions on the Lie algebra data of the pair $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ which imply the existence of the proper action of a non virtually abelian subgroup of G. This enabled them to extend results of [1] to homogeneous spaces generated by automorphisms of order 3 (see [3]).

In this paper we consider much wider classes of homogeneous spaces of simple Lie groups. We show an effective way of constructing properly discontinuous actions (of discrete subgroups) of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ for the homogeneous space G/H where G is simple and H is contained in the semisimple part of some parabolic subgroup of G. Also, using a certain tool which we call the a-hyperbolic rank, we fully classify homogeneous spaces G/H with H of real rank 1, which admit proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions. Our main results are stated in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. In this paper we denote the a-hyperbolic rank of $\mathfrak{g}$ by the symbol rank_{a-hyp}. For simple Lie algebras a-hyperbolic ranks are given in Table 1, for a semisimple Lie algebra the a-hyperbolic rank equals

the sum of a-hyperbolic ranks of all simple components of this Lie algebra.

Let G be a simple non-compact connected linear and real Lie group (with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$) and H a connected and closed subgroup with compact center (with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$). Recall that the subgroup H is reductive in G if $\mathfrak{h}$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$, that is, there exists a Cartan involution θ for which $\theta(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$. The space G/H is called the homogeneous space of reductive type. Moreover, in this setting the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is reductive. The main results of this article are contained in the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let G/H be homogeneous of reductive type. If $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{h}) = 1$ then the homogeneous space G/H admits a proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ action if and only if* $\mathrm{rank}_{a-hyp}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$.

Corollary 1. *Assume that $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{h}) = 1$. The homogeneous space G/H does not admit a proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-action if and only if $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ or $G = SL(3,\mathbb{R}), SU^*(6), E_6^{IV}$.*

(we use a notation from [17]). Let G/H be a homogeneous space such that $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are semisimple. Consider the corresponding Satake diagrams $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Note that with each real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ one can associate three different objects: the Dynkin diagram of the complexification $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, the Satake diagram $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the *restricted root system* $R_{\mathfrak{g}}$ (with its own Dynkin diagram). In the sequel, we use the following natural notation. If R' is a subsystem of the root system R in vector space V, $(R')^{\perp}$ denotes the set of roots in R orthogonal to R' with respect to the scalar product in V. Also, the inclusion $R' \subset R$ is understood as R' is a subsystem of R.

Theorem 2. *Assume that G/H is a homogeneous space of a simple Lie group G determined by a semisimple subgroup H. Let $R_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $R_{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the restricted root systems of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$, respectively. Assume that $R_{\mathfrak{h}} \subset R_{\mathfrak{g}}$. If $(R_{\mathfrak{h}})^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$, then G/H admits a proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-action.*

The latter result yields a class of homogeneous spaces for which the condition of Theorem 2 is easy to check.

Assume that G/H is determined by a closed subgroup H of a parabolic type (see Section 2). This means, by definition, that H is a semisimple component of some parabolic subgroup in G. In Section 2 (following Onishchik [18]) we show a procedure of obtaining $S_{\mathfrak{h}}$ from $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Recall that the sets $\Pi_1^{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\Pi_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of white vertexes of $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{h}}$ generate real root systems of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$. Note that real root systems of simple Lie algebras (together with their Satake diagrams) are classified in [16]. Also, from the construction, $\Pi_1^{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \Pi_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Theorem 3. *Assume that G/H is a homogeneous space determined by a semisimple subgroup of parabolic type. If $(\Pi_1^{\mathfrak{h}})^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$, then G/H admits a proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-action.*

We construct families of examples in the last section of the article.

2 Construction of a semisimple part of a parabolic subgroup

We will briefly describe a construction of parabolic subalgebras of a (semi)simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall, that any parabolic subalgebra (up to conjugation) can be constructed that way (see Theorem 1.6 of Chapter 6 in [16]). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra of a connected, linear Lie group G and $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be a Cartan involution. Recall that θ is an involutive automorphism of the Lie algebra. We fix the corresponding Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$. Recall that $\mathfrak{k}$ is a maximal compact subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{p}$ is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{k}$ with respect to the Killing form. Denote by $\mathfrak{a}$ a maximal abelian subspace in $\mathfrak{p}$. Choose a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{j} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{j} = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra in the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{j}$ is called the *split Cartan subalgebra*. Consider the complexification $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and the complex conjugation $\sigma : \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{j}^*$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We obtain the root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}.$$

Define the involution σ^* on $(\mathfrak{j}^c)^*$ by the formula

$$(\sigma^* \varphi)(x) = \overline{\varphi(\sigma(x))}, \, \forall x \in \mathfrak{j}^c, \varphi \in (\mathfrak{j}^c)^*.$$

If $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $\sigma^* \alpha \in \Delta$, and $\sigma \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma^* \alpha}$. Put

$$\Delta_0 = \{\alpha \in \Delta \,|\, \sigma^* \alpha = -\alpha\}.$$

One easily checks that

$$\Delta_0 = \{\alpha \in \Delta \,|\, \alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0\}.$$

Put $\Delta_1 = \Delta \setminus \Delta_0$. We have

$$\sigma^*(\Delta_0) \subset \Delta_0, \sigma^*(\Delta_1) = \Delta_1.$$

Choose an order in Δ in a way that $\sigma^*(\Delta_1^+) \subset \Delta_1^+$. Then

$$\sigma^*(\Delta_0^+) \subset \Delta_0^-,\ \sigma^*(\Delta_1^-) \subset \Delta_1^-.$$

Recall that a subsystem $\hat{\Delta} \subset \Delta$ is *closed* if for any two roots $\alpha, \beta \in \hat{\Delta}$ such that $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, their sum belongs to $\hat{\Delta}$. It is straightforward to see that $\Delta_0, \Delta_1^+, \Delta_1^-$ are closed subsystems.

Let $\Pi \subset \Delta^+$ be a subset of simple roots. Put $\Pi_0 = \Pi \cap \Delta_0$ and $\Pi_1 = \Pi \cap \Delta_1$. Recall that the *Satake diagram* for $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined as follows. One takes the Dynkin diagram for $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and paints vertexes from Π_0 in black and vertexes from Π_1 in white. Next, one shows that for every $\alpha \in \Pi_1$ there exists $\beta \in \Pi_1$ such that

$$\sigma^*\alpha - \beta = \sum_{\gamma \in \Pi_0} k_\gamma \gamma,\ k_\gamma \geq 0.$$

Therefore σ^* defines an involution $\tilde{\sigma}$ on Π_1 by the equation $\tilde{\sigma}\alpha = \beta$. Now the construction of the Satake diagram is completed by joining by arrows the white vertexes transformed into each other by $\tilde{\sigma}$. Recall that semisimple real Lie algebras are uniquely determined by their Satake diagrams up to an isomorphism. In the sequel we will denote the Satake diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ by the symbol $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$. It is important to note that with any real semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ one can associate three different objects

- the root system Δ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and the corresponding *Dynkin diagram*;
- the Satake diagram $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$;
- the *restricted root system* obtained by restricting roots from Δ on $\mathfrak{a}$. Note that the latter are also classified for all simple real Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and can be found in [16]. In the sequel we denote the subset of simple roots of the restricted root system by $\Pi_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Let $\Sigma \subset \Pi_1$ be a subset invariant with respect to $\tilde{\sigma}$. Let $\Delta' = \langle \Pi_0 \cup \Sigma \rangle$, and $\Delta'' \subset \Delta$ be a subsystem consisting of all roots which are not expressed via $\Pi_0 \cup \Sigma$. Clearly, Δ' and Δ'' are closed subsystems. Choose an element $x_\Sigma \in \mathfrak{a}$ defined by the formulae

$$\alpha(x_\Sigma) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad \alpha \in \Pi_0 \cup \Sigma; \\ 1, & \text{if} \quad \alpha \in \Pi_1 \setminus \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

It can be checked that

$$\Delta' = \{\alpha \in \Delta \,|\, \alpha(x_\Sigma) = 0\},\ (\Delta'')^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta \,|\, \alpha(x_\Sigma) > 0\}.$$

Also, $\sigma^*(\Delta') \subset \Delta'$ and $\sigma^*((\Delta'')^+) \subset (\Delta'')^+$. Consider the centralizer

$$\mathfrak{z}_\Sigma = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(x_\Sigma).$$

Clearly

$$\mathfrak{z}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{j}^\mathbb{C} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta'} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

One has the equality

$$\mathfrak{z}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C} = c_\Sigma^\mathbb{C} + \mathfrak{s}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C}$$

where $c_\Sigma^\mathbb{C}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{z}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C}$ is semisimple. Since $\sigma(\mathfrak{s}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{s}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C}$, one obtains $\mathfrak{s}_\Sigma = \mathfrak{s}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C} \cap \mathfrak{g}$. The same argument with the center yields the decomposition (we will call it the *Onishchik decomposition*)

$$\mathfrak{z}_\Sigma = c_\Sigma + \mathfrak{s}_\Sigma,$$

where c_Σ denotes the center of $\mathfrak{z}_\Sigma$. Put

$$\mathfrak{n}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C} = \sum_{\alpha \in (\Delta'')^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Again, $\sigma(\mathfrak{n}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{n}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C}$, which defines a nilpotent subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{n}_\Sigma = \mathfrak{n}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C} \cap \mathfrak{g},$$

whose complexification is $\mathfrak{n}_\Sigma^\mathbb{C}$. Define the parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ by

$$\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{z}_\Sigma + \mathfrak{n}_\Sigma.$$

This algebra determines the parabolic subgroup $U \subset G$. Also, the Satake diagram for $\mathfrak{s}_\Sigma$ is a part of the Satake diagram for $\mathfrak{g}$ which corresponds to $\Pi_0 \cup \Sigma$. It is obtained from the Satake diagram for $\mathfrak{g}$ by deleting vertexes from $\Pi_1 \setminus \Sigma$ together with the connecting edges and arrows.

The main conclusion of this construction is that the restricted root system of $\mathfrak{s}_\Sigma$ is a subsystem of the restricted root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\tilde{\mathfrak{j}}$ denotes an orthogonal completion (with respect to the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$) of $c_\Sigma \cap \mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathfrak{j}$ then

$$\mathfrak{s}_\Sigma^{\mathbb{C}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathbb{C}} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta'} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

and, as we mentioned before, $\mathfrak{s}_\Sigma$ is preserved by σ. By a *semisimple part* of the parabolic subgroup U we will understand the semisimple, closed and connected Lie subgroup $S \subset G$ generated by $\mathfrak{s}_\Sigma$.

3 The a-hyperbolic rank

3.1 The definition of the a-hyperbolic rank

By the a-hyperbolic rank we will understand the dimension of a specific convex cone defined by the action of the Weyl group of a semisimple Lie group G. It is a very useful tool in classifying homogeneous spaces with proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions.

Let V be a real vector space of dimension n. Choose a set of linearly independent vectors $B \subset V$. By a *convex cone* A^+ we will understand a subset of V generated by all linear combinations of vectors from B with non-negative coefficients $A^+ := Span^+(B)$. The dimension of the convex cone A^+ is the dimension of the subspace $Span(A^+) = Span(B)$. In the sequel we will use the simple observation that for any linear automorphism $f : V \to V$, such that $f(A^+) = A^+$, the set of fixed points of f in A^+ is a convex cone.

Let G be a real connected and simple Lie group with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is absolutely simple (i. e. $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ are simple). Fix a split Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{j} = \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Obviously $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let Δ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Clearly the real form of $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{j} := \{X \in \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \forall_{\alpha \in \Delta}\ \alpha(X) \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Choose a subsystem Δ^+ of positive roots in Δ. Then

$$\mathfrak{j}^+ := \{X \in \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \forall_{\alpha \in \Delta^+}\ \alpha(X) \geq 0\}$$

is the closed Weyl chamber for the Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ of Δ. Consider

$$R := \{\alpha|_\mathfrak{a} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} \backslash \{0\} \subset \mathfrak{a}^*,$$

the restricted root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the corresponding Weyl group. The set of positive roots has the form

$$R^+ := \{\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}} \mid \alpha \in \Delta^+\}\backslash\{0\}.$$

Define

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ := \{X \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \forall_{\xi \in R^+}\ \xi(X) \geq 0\}.$$

We have $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \mathfrak{j}^+ \cap \mathfrak{a}$. Let w_0 be the longest element of $W_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}$. The action of w_0 sends $\mathfrak{a}^+$ to $-\mathfrak{a}^+$. Define:

$$-w_0 : \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a},\ \iota : X \to -(w_0 X).$$

Then $\iota(\mathfrak{a}^+) = \mathfrak{a}^+$ therefore we can define the convex cone

$$\mathfrak{b}^+ \subset \mathfrak{a}^+$$

as a set of all fixed points of ι in $\mathfrak{a}^+$.

Definition 1. The dimension of $\mathfrak{a}^+$ is called the real rank ($\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$) of $\mathfrak{g}$. The dimension of $\mathfrak{b}^+$ is called the *a-hyperbolic rank* of $\mathfrak{g}$ and is denoted by $\text{rank}_{a-hyp}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Table 1 contains the a-hyperbolic ranks of simple Lie algebras. We will show a method of calculation of the a-hyperbolic rank in the next two subsections.

Table 1. *A-HYPERBOLIC RANK*	
$\mathfrak{g}$	rank_{a-hyp}
$sl(2k, \mathbb{R})$ $k \geq 1$	k
$sl(2k+1, \mathbb{R})$ $k \geq 1$	k
$su^*(4k)$ $k \geq 1$	k
$su^*(4k+2)$ $k \geq 1$	k
$so(2k+1, 2k+1)$ $k \geq 2$	$2k$
E_6^I	4
E_6^{IV}	1

Table 1: This table contains all real forms of simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$, for which $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq \text{rank}_{a-hyp}(\mathfrak{g})$.

3.2 Antipodal hyperbolic orbits and the a-hyperbolic rank

In this subsection we will describe basic facts concerning antipodal hyperbolic orbits in absolutely simple Lie algebras, as well as their relation with the notion of the a-hyperbolic rank. We say that an element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is *hyperbolic*, if X is semisimple (that is, ad_X is diagonalizable) and all eigenvalues of ad_X are real.
Definition 2. An adjoint orbit $O_X := Ad_G X$ is said to be hyperbolic if X (and therefore every element of O_X) is hyperbolic. An orbit O_Y is antipodal if $-Y \in O_Y$ (and therefore for every $Z \in O_Y$, $-Z \in O_Y$).

There is an effective way of classifying antipodal hyperbolic orbits in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and in $\mathfrak{g}$. For a more detailed treatment of this subject we refer to [15].

Recall that $\mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complexification of the split Cartan subalgebra. Retaining previous notation we write

$$\mathfrak{j} := \{X \in \mathfrak{j}^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \forall_{\alpha\in\Delta}\ \alpha(X) \in \mathbb{R}\} \text{ and } \mathfrak{j}^{+} := \{X \in \mathfrak{j} \mid \forall_{\alpha\in\Delta^{+}}\ \alpha(X) \geq 0\}$$

The latter set is the closed Weyl chamber for the Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}$ of Δ. Let Π be a simple root system for Δ^{+}. For every $X \in \mathfrak{j}$ we define

$$\Psi_X : \Pi \to \mathbb{R},\ \alpha \to \alpha(X).$$

The above map is called the *weighted Dynkin diagram* of $X \in \mathfrak{j}$, and the value $\alpha(X)$ is the weight of the node α. Since Π is a basis of the dual space $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$, the map

$$\Psi : \mathfrak{j} \to \mathrm{Map}(\Pi, \mathbb{R}),\ X \to \Psi_X$$

is a linear isomorphism. One can show, that:

$$\Psi|_{\mathfrak{j}^{+}} : \mathfrak{j}^{+} \to \mathrm{Map}(\Pi, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}),\ X \to \Psi_X$$

is bijective. We have the following description of the class of hyperbolic orbits.
Lemma 1 (Fact 6.1 in [15])**.** *Every hyperbolic orbit in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ meets $\mathfrak{j}$ in a single $W_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}$-orbit. In particular there is a bijective correspondence between hyperbolic orbits O_X and elements X of $\mathfrak{j}^{+}$.*

Let w_0 be the longest element of $W_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}$. The action of w_0 sends $\mathfrak{j}^{+}$ to $-\mathfrak{j}^{+}$. Then Ψ and $-w_0$ induce a linear automorphism $\iota = \Psi \circ (-w_0) \circ \Psi^{-1}$ of $\mathrm{Map}(\Pi, \mathbb{R})$.
Theorem 4 (Theorem 6.3 in [15])**.** *Hyperbolic orbit O in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is antipodal if and only if the weighted Dynkin diagram of O is invariant with respect to ι.*

Definition 3. Let $\Psi_X \in \mathrm{Map}(\Pi, \mathbb{R})$ be the weighted Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the Satake diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$. We say that Ψ_X matches $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ if all black nodes in $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ have weights equal to 0 in Ψ_X and every two nodes joined by an arrow have the same weights.

Theorem 5 (Theorem 7.4 in [15]). *The weighted Dynkin diagram $\Psi_X \in \mathrm{Map}(\Pi, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ of a hyperbolic orbit O in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ matches $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ if and only if O meets $\mathfrak{g}$. There is also a bijective correspondence between elements of $\mathrm{Map}(\Pi, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ and the set of hyperbolic orbits meeting $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Theorem 6 (Theorem 7.5 in [15]). *The map $\Psi : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathrm{Map}(\Pi, \mathbb{R})$ induces a linear isomorphism:*

$$\mathfrak{a} \to \{\Psi_X \text{ matches } S_{\mathfrak{g}}\}, \quad X \mapsto \Psi_X$$

If the weighted Dynkin diagram of a hyperbolic orbit O in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ matches the Satake diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ then the intersection of O and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a single hyperbolic orbit $\tilde{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, weights on that diagram are coefficients of a linear combination of the basis vectors (dual to restricted roots) of $\mathfrak{a}^+$, which gives a unique vector $X \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, such that $\tilde{O} = Ad_G X$. If the weighted Dynkin Diagram is invariant with respect to ι, then X generates an antipodal hyperbolic orbit. Therefore, we have the following.

Lemma 2. *There is a bijective correspondence between antipodal hyperbolic orbits O_X in $\mathfrak{g}$ and elements $X \in \mathfrak{b}^+$. One also has $O_X = Ad_G X$.*

3.3 Calculation of the a-hyperbolic rank

Take the weighted Dynkin diagrams of hyperbolic orbits in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ matching $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and preserved by ι. Interpret weights of the given weighted Dynkin diagram as coordinates of a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. All vectors constructed in this way give us a convex cone which has dimension equal to $\mathrm{rank}_{a-hyp}(\mathfrak{g})$. The a-hyperbolic rank of a semisimple Lie algebra is the sum of the a-hyperbolic ranks of all simple factors.

Example 1. Take $G = E_6^{IV}$ as an example. The automorphism ι acts on the weighted Dynkin diagram as follows



The Satake diagram of E_6^{IV} is



Therefore $\mathfrak{b}^+$ is given by



where $a \geq 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{b}^+$ has the dimension equal to the dimension of $Span^+((1,0,0,0,1,0))$. Thus $\text{rank}_{a-hyp}(E_6^{IV}) = 1$.

4 $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-triples and proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-actions

4.1 $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-triples

Let G be a simple linear connected Lie group with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. A triple (H,E,F) of vectors in $\mathfrak{g}$ is called the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-*triple* if

$$[H,E] = 2E, \quad [H,F] = -2F, \text{ and } [E,F] = H.$$

One can show that E is nilpotent and the adjoint orbit $Ad_G H$ is antipodal and hyperbolic (c.f. [4]). Furthermore there is a homomorphism of Lie groups

$$\Phi : SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \to G$$

defined by $d\Phi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = H, \quad d\Phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = E, \quad d\Phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = F.$

4.2 $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-triples and Kobayashi's criterion for proper actions

Let H and L be reductive subgroups in a real reductive linear Lie group G. Consider the Cartan decompositions $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{k}_\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p}_\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{k}_\mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{p}_\mathfrak{l}$. Under the condition

of reductiveness one can assume that maximal abelian subalgebras $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{l}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{p}_\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\mathfrak{l}$ satisfy the inclusions

$$\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{a}.$$

The latter inclusions are shown in [10].

Theorem 7 (Theorem 4.1 in [10]). *In the above settings, the following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) H acts on G/L properly.
(ii) L acts on G/H properly.
(iii) $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{h} \cap W_\mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{l} = \{0\}$.

We can restate this conditions in the language of hyperbolic orbits for the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 8 (Corollary 5.5 in [15]). *Let H be a reductive subgroup of G and denote by $\mathfrak{h}$ a Lie algebra of H. Let $\Phi : SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \to G$ be a Lie group homomorphism, and denote its differential by $\phi = d\Phi : \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{g}$. We put*

$$H_\phi := \phi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ acts on G/H properly via Φ iff the real antipodal adjoint orbit through H_ϕ in $\mathfrak{g}$ does not meet $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{h}$.

5 Nilpotent orbits and their classification

By a *nilpotent orbit* in a simple linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we will understand the adjoint orbit of a nilpotent element. By the result of Kostant [11] and the Jacobson-Morozov theorem for every nilpotent orbit $O_E = Ad_G E$ there exists an $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-triple (H, E, F).

Definition 4. The weighted Dynkin diagram of nilpotent orbit O_E is the weighted Dynkin diagram of the antipodal hyperbolic orbit O_H.

Be aware, that the above correspondence is in general not injective, because two different nilpotent orbits can have the same weighted Dynkin diagrams.

We use notation as in [4]. Let n be a positive integer. A partition of n is a tuple $[d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k]$ of positive integers having two properties:

(i) $d_1 \geqslant d_2 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant d_k > 0$

(ii) $d_1 + d_2 + \ldots + d_k = 0$.

It is useful to introduce the *exponential notation* for partitions. Write $[t_1^{i_1}, .., t_r^{i_r}]$ to denote the partition $[d_1, .., d_k]$, where

$$d_j = \begin{cases} t_1 & 1 \leqslant j \leqslant i_1 \\ t_2 & i_1 + 1 \leqslant j \leqslant i_1 + i_2 \\ t_3 & i_1 + i_2 + 1 \leqslant j \leqslant i_1 + i_2 + i_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{cases}$$

For example, $[4, 3^2, 2^3, 1] = [4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1]$ is a partition of 17. Denote by $P(n)$ the set of all partitions of n.

5.1 Partition type classification of nilpotent orbits in classical algebras

Theorem 9 (Theorem 5.1.1 in [4], type A_n)**.** *Nilpotent orbits in* $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ *are in one-to-one correspondence with the set* $P(n)$ *of partitions of* n*.*

For example in $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ there are only five nilpotent orbits: $O_{[4]}, O_{[3,1]}, O_{[2^2]}, O_{[2,1^2]}$, $O_{[1^4]}$.

Theorem 10 (Theorem 5.1.2 in [4], type B_n)**.** *Nilpotent orbits in* $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ *are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of partitions of* $2n+1$ *in which even parts occur with even multiplicity.*

For example in $\mathfrak{so}(7, \mathbb{C})$ there are only seven nilpotent orbits: $O_{[7]}, O_{[5,1^2]}, O_{[3,1^4]}$, $O_{[3,2^2]}, O_{[3^2,1]}, O_{[2^2,1^3]}, O_{[1^7]}$.

Theorem 11 (Theorem 5.1.3 in [4], type C_n)**.** *Nilpotent orbits in* $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ *are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of partitions of* $2n$ *in which odd parts occur with even multiplicity.*

For example in $\mathfrak{sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$ there are eight nilpotent orbits: $O_{[6]}, O_{[4,2]}, O_{[4,1^2]}, O_{[3^2]}, O_{[2^3]}$, $O_{[2^2,1^2]}, O_{[2,1^4]}, O_{[1^6]}$.

Theorem 12 (Theorem 5.1.4 in [4], type D_n)**.** *Nilpotent orbits in* $\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ *are parametrized by partitions of* $2n$ *in which even parts occur with even multiplicity, except that "very even" partitions* $[d_1, \ldots, d_{2n}]$ *with only even parts, each having even multiplicity, correspond to two orbits.*

For example for $\mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})$ there are two partitions, such that one partition corresponds to two orbits: $[4^2]$ and $[2^4]$. Therefore we have exactly twelve nilpotent orbits: $O_{[7,1]}, O_{[5,3]}, O^I_{[4^2]}, O^{II}_{[4^2]}, O_{[5,1^3]}, O_{[3^2,1^2]}, O_{[3,2^2,1]}, O^I_{[2^4]}, O^{II}_{[2^4]}, O_{[3,1^5]}, O_{[2^2,1^4]}, O_{[1^8]}$.

5.2 Weighted Dynkin diagrams of nilpotent orbits

We will describe case by case an algorithm that computes the weighted Dynkin diagram of a nilpotent orbit $O_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$, as in [4].

Type A_n

We take an orbit $O_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$. Denote the associated triple by

$$H_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}, X_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}, Y_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}.$$

In the case $O_{[1^n]}$ this is the zero-orbit. Let

$$H_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]} = \phi_{O_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}}(H) = \begin{bmatrix} D(d_1) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & D(d_2) & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & D(d_n) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$D(d_i) = \begin{pmatrix} d_i-1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_i-3 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_i-5 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -d_i+3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -d_i+1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. The diagonal matrices of trace 0 form a Cartan subalgebra for $\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})$. The Weyl group in this case is S_n, it acts on a diagonal matrix H by permuting its diagonal elements. So we may reorder the elements and we obtain the matrix:

$$\tilde{H}_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & h_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & h_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $h_1 \geqslant h_2 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant h_n$.

Lemma 3 (Lemma 3.6.4 in [4]). *If $\tilde{H}_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$ is as above, then the weighted Dynkin diagram for $O_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$ is given by*



Example 2. As an example, consider $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C})$.

Table 2. ***Nilpotent orbits for*** $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C})$

Orbit	$H_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$	$\tilde{H}_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$	Weighted Dynkin diagram
$O_{[4]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(3, 1, -1, -3)$	$\mathrm{diag}(3, 1, -1, -3)$	2 2 2
$O_{[3,1]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(2, 0, -2, 0)$	$\mathrm{diag}(2, 0, 0, -2)$	2 0 2
$O_{[2^2]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1)$	$\mathrm{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$	0 2 0
$O_{[2,1^2]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 0, 0)$	$\mathrm{diag}(1, 0, 0, -1)$	1 0 1
$O_{[1^4]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(0, 0, 0, 0)$	$\mathrm{diag}(0, 0, 0, 0)$	0 0 0

Table 2: This table contains all nilpotent orbits for $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ and their weighted Dynkin diagrams.

Type C_n

In this case, the matrix H is defined as in the case of A_n. The Weyl group acts on matrix H by permuting and changing signs of first n diagonal entries. We rearrange this sequence on diagonal so that its non-negative terms occur in non-increasing order, followed by negative entries. The new matrix $\tilde{H}$ has the form $\mathrm{diag}(h_1, \ldots, h_n, -h_1, \ldots, -h_n)$.

Lemma 4 (Lemma 5.3.1 in [4]). *The weighted Dynkin diagram for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is given by:*



Example 3. As an example, consider the case of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(6, \mathbb{C})$.

Type B_n

In this case, the matrix H is defined similarly to the case A_n, but it has an extra row and a column of zeros. The Weyl group acts on matrix H by permuting and changing signs. Using this, we rearrange the sequence on the diagonal so that 0

Table 3. ***Nilpotent orbits for*** $\mathfrak{sp}(6,\mathbb{C})$		
Orbit	$\tilde{H}$	Weighted Dynkin diagram
$O_{[6]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(5,3,1,-5,-3,-1)$	
$O_{[4,2]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(3,1,1,-3,-1,-1)$	
$O_{[4,1^2]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(3,1,0,-3,-1,0)$	
$O_{[3^2]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(2,2,0,-2,-2,0)$	
$O_{[2^3]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(1,1,1,-1,-1,-1)$	
$O_{[2^2,1^2]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(1,1,0,-1,-1,0)$	
$O_{[2,1^4]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(1,0,0,-1,0,0)$	
$O_{[1^6]}$	$\mathrm{diag}(0,0,0,0,0,0)$	

Table 3: This table contains all nilpotent orbits for $\mathfrak{sp}(6,\mathbb{C})$ and their weighted Dynkin diagrams.

comes first, followed by the remaining non-negative terms in non-increasing order, and, finally, followed by the negative entries. The new matrix $\tilde{H}$ has the form $\mathrm{diag}(0,h_1,\ldots,h_n,-h_1,\ldots,-h_n)$.

Lemma 5 (Lemma 5.3.3 in [4]). *In* $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$*, the weighted Dynkin diagram for* $O_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$ *is given by*

Type D_n

The matrix H is also defined as in the case of A_n. The Weyl group acts on matrix H by permuting and changing an even number of signs of the first n diagonal entries. We also rearrange this sequence on the diagonal so that its non-negative terms occur in non-increasing order, followed by the negative entries. The new matrix $\tilde{H}$ has the form $\mathrm{diag}(h_1,\ldots,h_n,-h_1,\ldots,-h_n)$.

Lemma 6 (Lemma 5.3.4,5.3.5 in [4]). *In* $\mathfrak{so}(2n,\mathbb{C})$*, the weighted Dynkin diagram for* $O_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$ *is given by*

- $O_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$:



if $[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ *is not very even.*

- $O^{I}_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$:



$O^{II}_{[d_1,\ldots,d_n]}$:



if $[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ *is very even, where* $a = 0$*, if* n *is a multiple of 4,* $a = 2$ *otherwise, and* $b = 2 - a$ *in either case.*

Exceptional Lie algebras

The weighted Dynkin diagrams of nilpotent orbits in exceptional algebras are tabulated, one can find them for example in [4].

5.3 Basic Lemma

Lemma 7. *Retain the notation from the previous sections. For every absolutely simple connected linear real Lie group* G *with a Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *the space* $\mathfrak{b} := Span(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ *is* $\mathbb{R}$*-spanned by* $\{Ad_G H_i \cap \mathfrak{b}^+\}$ *for some set*

$$\{H_i,\ i = 1, ..., n \mid \forall_i\ H_i \text{ is in some } SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \text{ triple}\} \tag{1}$$

where $n = \mathrm{rank}_{a-hyp}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. We prove this Lemma checking the required condition in each case separately.

I. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$.

The involutive endomorphism ι on weighted Dynkin diagram:



The Satake diagram for $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ is of the form

We have the linear isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \overset{a_1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_1}{\circ} \,|\, a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

From the previous lemmas for A_n, we may choose a partition of n that only have 2 or/and 1, i.e. $n = [2^k, 1^l] = 2k+l$. Then: $H = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, \ldots, 1, -1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, where (1,-1) appears k times and 0 appears l times. Next $\tilde{H} = \mathrm{diag}(1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, -1, \ldots, -1)$. The weighted Dynkin diagrams is:

$$\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}$$

where 1 is on i-th and $(n-i)$-th place, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Vectors which correspond to this special family of weighted Dynkin diagrams span $\mathfrak{b}^+$.

II. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{H}), \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$.

This case is similar. The only difference is the Satake diagram, which now takes the form

$$\bullet\!\!-\!\!\circ\!\!-\!\!\bullet\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\bullet\!\!-\!\!\circ\!\!-\!\!\bullet .$$

So we have the linear isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ} \,|\, a_2, a_4, \ldots \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

The argument stays unchanged.

III. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p, n+1-p), \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$.

The Satake diagram has the form



So we have the linear isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \overset{a_1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_p}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_p}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_1}{\circ} \,|\, a_1, \ldots, a_p \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Now the proof goes unchanged.

IV. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p,p), \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1,\mathbb{C})$.

The Satake diagram looks as follows.



So we have the linear isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \overset{a_1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_{p-1}}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_p}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_{p-1}}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_1}{\circ} \,|\, a_1,\ldots,a_p \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Again we use the same argument as in the previous cases.

V. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(p,2n+1-p), \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{so}(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$.

In this case nilpotent orbits are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of partitions of $2n+1$ in which even parts occur with even multiplicity. The involutive endomorphism ι on weighted Dynkin acts trivially.

The Satake diagram is given as follows.



So we have the linear isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \overset{a_1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{a_p}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\overset{0}{\circ}\!\!\Longrightarrow\!\!\overset{0}{\circ} \,|\, a_1,\ldots,a_p \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

As in case I, we take the "special family" of partitions which spans $\mathfrak{b}$. The first member is $[3,2^{2k},1^l], k = 0,1,\ldots$. If $k \neq 0$ then the corresponding weighted Dynkin diagram have value 1 over the first and $(2k+1)$-th vertex, other vertexes have weights equal to zero. If $k = 0$, we have value 2 over the first vertex and 0 over the other. The second member of this family is $[2^{2k},1^l]$, which gives the weighted Dynkin diagram with 1 over $2k$-th vertex and 0 over the other ones for $k \neq 0$ and the zero-orbit for $k = 0$.

VI. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n,\mathbb{C})$. In this case nilpotent orbits are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of partitions of $2n+1$ in which odd parts occur with

even multiplicity. The involutive endomorphism ι on the weighted Dynkin diagram is trivial. The Satake diagram has the form



.

So we have the linear isomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} a_1 \quad a_2 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad a_n \\ \circ\!\!-\!\!\circ\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\circ\!\!-\!\!\circ\!\!-\!\!\cdots\!\!-\!\!\circ\!\!\Longrightarrow\!\!\circ \end{array} \Big| a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

As in the previous case, we choose the "special family" of partitions which spans $\mathfrak{b}$. Take $[2^k, 1^l]$ which gives the weighted Dynkin diagram with 1 over k-th vertex and 0 over other vertexes for $k, l \neq 0$; it has 2 over the last vertex when $l = 0, k \neq 0$ and represents the zero-orbit for $k = 0, l \neq 0$.

VII. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(p, n-p)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(p, p)$. This case is analogous to VI.

VIII. For type D_n we have the following "special family" of partitions:

$$[3, 2^{2k}, 1^l], [2^{2k}, 1^l], [2^{2k}]^I, [2^{2k}]^{II}.$$

The remaining calculations are the same.

IX. For the exceptional algebras we may use the table in [4], pp. 128-134. For example take E_6. We have the following set of the weighted Dynkin diagrams, which generate $\mathfrak{b}$:



6 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

6.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(H) = 1$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$ there exists a Cartan involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\theta(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$. We have the Iwasawa decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{k}_h + \mathfrak{a}_h + \mathfrak{n}_h$$

which is compatible with the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$. That is $\mathfrak{k}_h \subset \mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{a}_h \subset \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_h \subset \mathfrak{n}$.

Recall that the intersection of any hyperbolic orbit in a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{m}$ is a single $W_\mathfrak{m}$-orbit. Notice also that for a reductive $\mathfrak{m}$ with a compact center and the real rank equal to 1, we have $\text{rank}_{a-hyp}\mathfrak{m} = 1$. This follows from the simple observation: if α is (the only one) simple restricted root of $\mathfrak{m}$ then the reflection in $W_\mathfrak{m}$ generated by α sends any vector $X \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{m}$ (in 1-dimensional space $\mathfrak{a}_m$) to $-X$. Therefore dim $Span(\mathfrak{b}_m^+) = 1$.

Since G is non-compact we have $\text{rank}_{a-hyp}(\mathfrak{g}) > 0$. Assume $\text{rank}_{a-hyp}(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$. Then, since $\text{rank}_{a-hyp}(\mathfrak{h}) = 1$, G/H admits proper actions of only virtually abelian discrete subgroups (see [3])). Therefore it cannot admit proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-action. If $\text{rank}_{a-hyp}(\mathfrak{g}) > 1$ then there are at least two linearly independent vectors H_1 and H_2 in $\mathfrak{b}^+$ which generate different hyperbolic and nilpotent orbits (see Lemma 7).

Assume that $w_aH_1, w_bH_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_h$ for some $w_a, w_b \in W_\mathfrak{g}$.Then $w_bH_2 = \lambda w_aH_1$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\backslash 0$, since the space $\mathfrak{a}_h$ is 1-dimensional. Because $W_\mathfrak{g}$ is a group of linear transformations we obtain $wH_2 = \lambda H_1$ (where we set $w := w_a^{-1}w_b$).

If $\lambda > 0$ then w maps an element of $\mathfrak{b}^+$ to $\mathfrak{b}^+$ therefore $w = \text{id}$. Thus $H_2 = \lambda H_1$ - a contradiction. If $\lambda < 0$ then $w(H_2) = -|\lambda|H_1$ and $w(-H_2) = |\lambda|H_1$. Since the orbit of H_2 is antipodal $-H_2 \in \text{Ad}_G H_2$ and $-H_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$. We therefore can take $w_1 \in W_\mathfrak{g}$ such that $-H_2 = wH_2$. Thus $ww_1H_2 = \lambda H_1$ and $w_2H_2 = |\lambda|H_1$ for $w_2 := ww_1$. We proceed as in previous case.

Therefore at least one of the orbits $\text{Ad}_G H_1, \text{Ad}_G H_2$ does not meet $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{h}$. We conclude by Theorem 8.

Corollary 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

6.2 Proof of Theorem 2

By a slight abuse of terminology, we say that an orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *principal nilpotent orbit* if the corresponding weighted Dynkin diagram has value 2 over every node that corresponds to a white node in $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and 0 over every node that corresponds to some black node in $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$. We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *There exists a principal nilpotent orbit in* $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of in the complex case (see [4] Theorem 4.1.6). Let Π_1 be the set of simple roots of the restricted root system for $\mathfrak{g}$. For every $\alpha \in \Pi_1$ construct a $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-triple $(H_\alpha, E_\alpha, F_{-\alpha})$, so that $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $F_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ and $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ is a root vector. Take $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ so that $\alpha(H) = 2$ for every $\alpha \in \Pi_1$. Because $\{H_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi_1\}$ spans $\mathfrak{a}$ we can find $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ so that:

$$H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_1} a_\alpha H_\alpha.$$

Set

$$E := \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_1} E_\alpha, \quad F := \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_1} F_{-\alpha}.$$

Since the difference of two simple roots is never a root, one easily checks that (H, E, F) defines a principal nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$.

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.

By assumption $R_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a subsystem of $R_{\mathfrak{g}}$. If there exists a root $\alpha \in R_{\mathfrak{g}}$ which is orthogonal to $R_{\mathfrak{h}}$ then $\mathfrak{a}_h \subset C_\alpha$ (where C_α is a hyperplane annihilated by α). Notice that for the triple (H, E, F) defining the principal nilpotent orbit, H belongs to the interior of the Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^+$. But any element of the Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ maps the interior of the Weyl chamber to the interior of some Weyl chamber, and C_α is the wall. Therefore the $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$-orbit of H does not intersect $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. We conclude by Theorem 8

□

Note that Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 2.

7 Examples

7.1 Examples from Theorem 2, the split case

Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a split Lie algebra with the system of restricted roots R. Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} + \sum_{\lambda \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda.$$

Given any closed and symmetric subsystem R' we can define a reductive subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{c} := \mathfrak{a} + \sum_{\lambda \in R'} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda.$$

Take $\mathfrak{h} := [\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}]$. Then $\mathfrak{h}$ is a split semisimple subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. It follows easily from proof of Theorem 2 that if $(R')^\perp \neq \emptyset$ then G/H admits proper action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. In [13] the classification of subsystems of simple root systems (with description of the set of roots orthogonal to given subsystem) is given in tables 10.1 and 10.2. With this classification we can obtain the following examples.

Example 4. The following homogeneous spaces G/H admit proper $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-actions.

Classical case

$$SL(n, \mathbb{R})/SL(k, \mathbb{R}), 2 \leq k \leq n-2$$

$$SO(n, n)/SL(k, \mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-2, \quad n \geq 5;$$

$$SO(n, n)/SO(k, k), \quad 4 < k \leq n-2, \quad n \geq 6;$$

$$SO(n, n+1)/SL(k, \mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad n \geq 5;$$

$$SO(n, n+1)/SO(k, k), \quad 4 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad n \geq 5;$$

$$SO(n, n+1)/SO(k, k+1), \quad 2 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad n \geq 2;$$

$$Sp(n, \mathbb{R})/Sp(k, \mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad n \geq 2;$$

$$Sp(n, \mathbb{R})/SO(k, k), \quad 3 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad n \geq 5;$$

$$Sp(n, \mathbb{R})/SL(k, \mathbb{R}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-2, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Exceptional case

$$E_6^{\mathrm{I}}/SL(k,\mathbb{R}),\quad 1\le k\le 6;$$
$$E_7^{\mathrm{V}}/SL(k,\mathbb{R}),\quad 1\le k\le 6;$$
$$E_7^{\mathrm{V}}/SO(k,k),\quad 4\le k\le 6;$$
$$E_8^{\mathrm{VIII}}/SL(k,\mathbb{R}),\quad 1\le k\le 8;$$
$$E_8^{\mathrm{VIII}}/SO(k,k),\quad 4\le k\le 6;$$
$$E_8^{\mathrm{VIII}}/E_6^{\mathrm{I}},\quad E_8^{\mathrm{VIII}}/E_7^{\mathrm{V}};$$
$$F_4^{\mathrm{I}}/SL(2,\mathbb{R}),\quad F_4^{\mathrm{I}}/SL(3,\mathbb{R}),\quad F_4^{\mathrm{I}}/SO(2,3),\quad F_4^{\mathrm{I}}/SO(3,4);$$
$$G_2^*/SL(2,\mathbb{R}).$$

To prove the latter, use Table 4 in [16] and observe that the Satake diagrams $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{h}}$ consist of white nodes. Also, from these diagrams one can read off their restricted root systems $R_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $R_{\mathfrak{h}}$. It follows that $G\subset H$ in a way that $R_{\mathfrak{h}}\subset R_{\mathfrak{g}}$. By results of Oshima [13], in all these cases $R_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\perp}\neq\emptyset$. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.

7.2 Examples from Theorem 3

We will describe procedure that will allow us to compute examples using Theorem 2. We will illustrate every step with an example $\mathfrak{g}=su^*(10)$.

Step 1. Take the Satake diagram $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.



Step 2. Take the Dynkin diagram D of the restricted root system R of $\mathfrak{g}$.



$$r(\alpha_{2k})=\lambda_k$$

Step 3. Find the extended Dynkin diagram EDD of R.



Step 4. Choose a subset C of roots in D so that there exists a root γ in EDD that has no direct connection to any root in C. Then γ is orthogonal to every root in C.

$$C = \{\lambda_2, \lambda_3\},\ \gamma = \lambda_0$$

Step 5. Remove from $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ every white root α_i such that $r(\alpha_i) \notin C$. We have obtained $S_{\mathfrak{h}}$.



Therefore

$$SU^*(10)/(SU(2) \times SU(2) \times SU^*(6))$$

admits proper action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Notice that from Theorem 7 one easily obtains the following.
Remark 1. Let $\hat{H}$ be a subgroup of reductive type in H. If G/H admits a proper action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ then so does $G/\hat{H}$.
Example 5. It follows from Remark 1 that

$$SU^*(10)/SU^*(6)$$

admits proper action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.
Example 6. By this technique we can obtain, inter alia, the following spaces admitting proper $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$-action:

Classical case

$$SU(p,q)/SU(p-l,q),\quad 1 \le l < p < q;$$
$$SU(p,p)/SU(p-l,p-l),\quad 1 \le l < p;$$
$$SO(p,q)/SO(p-l,q),\quad 2 \le l < p < q;$$
$$Sp(p,q)/Sp(p-l,q),\quad 1 \le l < p < q;$$
$$Sp(p,p)/Sp(p-l,p-l),\quad 1 \le l < p.$$

Exceptional case

$$E_6^{\mathrm{II}}/SL(3,\mathbb{R});$$
$$E_7^{\mathrm{VI}}/SO(3,7),\quad E_7^{\mathrm{VI}}/SU^*(6),\quad E_7^{\mathrm{VII}}/SO(2,12),\quad E_7^{\mathrm{VII}}/SO(1,10);$$
$$E_8^{\mathrm{IX}}/SO(3,14),\quad E_8^{\mathrm{IX}}/E_6^{\mathrm{IV}};\quad E_8^{\mathrm{IX}}/SO(1,10).$$

References

[1] Y. Benoist, *Actions propres sur les espaces homogenes reductifs*, Ann. of Math. 144 (1996), 315-347.

[2] Y. Benoist, F. Labourie, *Sur les espaces homogenes modeles de varietes compactes*, Publications Mathematiques de I.H.E.S 76 (1992) 99-109.

[3] M. Bocheński, A. Tralle, *Clifford-Klein forms and a-hyperbolic rank*, arXiv:1311.6254 (2013).

[4] D. H. Collingwood, W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent Orbits in Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York (1993).

[5] F. Kassel, *Deformation of proper actions on reductive homogeneous spaces*, Math. Ann. 353 (2012), 599-632.

[6] F. Kassel, *Proper actions of corank-one reductive homogeneous spaces*, J. Lie Theory 18 (2008), 961-978.

[7] T. Kobayashi, T. Yoshino *Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces revisited*, 2005.

[8] T. Kobayashi, *Discontinuous groups and Clifford-Klein forms of pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous manifolds*, Perspectives in Mathematics, 17 (1996), 99-165.

[9] T. Kobayashi, *Criterion for proper actions of homogeneous spaces of reductive groups* J. Lie Theory 6 (1996), 147-163.

[10] T. Kobayashi, *Proper action on a homogeneous space of reductive type*, Math. Ann. 285, 249-263 (1989).

[11] B. Kostant, *The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group*, Amer. J. Math. 81, 973-1032 (1959).

[12] R. S. Kulkarni *Proper actions and pseudo-Riemannian space forms* Adv. Math. 40 (1981), 10-51.

[13] T. Oshima, *A classification of subsystems of a root system* arXiv:math/0611904 (2006).

[14] H. Oh, D. Witte, *Compact Clifford-Klein forms of homogeneous spaces of SO(2,n),* Geom. Dedic. 89 (2002), 25-57.

[15] T. Okuda, *Classification of Semisimple Symmetric Spaces with Proper $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$-Actions,* J. Differential Geometry 2 (2013), 301-342.

[16] A. L. Onishchik, E. B. Vinberg *Lie Groups and Lie algebras III,* Springer (1994).

[17] A. L. Onishchik, E. B. Vinberg *Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups,* Springer (1990).

[18] A. L. Onishchik, On *Lie groups acting transitively on compact manifolds II,* Matem. Sb. 74 (1967), 398-419 (in Russian).

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

University of Warmia and Mazury

Słoneczna 54, 10-710, Olsztyn, Poland

e-mail: mabo@matman.uwm.edu.pl (MB),

piojas@matman.uwm.edu.pl (PJ)